

Colorado Supreme Court 2 East 14th Avenue Denver, CO 80203	DATE FILED February 9, 2026 CASE NUMBER: 2025SA111
Original Proceeding in Unauthorized Practice of Law, 23UPL73 & 24UPL68	Supreme Court Case No: 2025SA111
Petitioner: The People of the State of Colorado, v. Respondent: Shineth Cunanan Gonzales.	ORDER OF COURT

Upon consideration of the Report of Hearing Master Recommending Approval of Revised Stipulation to Injunction under C.R.C.P. 232.17(d) filed in the above cause, and now being sufficiently advised in the premises,

IT IS ORDERED that Respondent, SHINETH CUNANAN GONZALES, shall be, and the same hereby is, ENJOINED from engaging in the Unauthorized Practice of Law in the State of Colorado.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Pursuant to CRCP 232.20(e) Respondent, SHINETH CUNANAN GONZALES is to pay Restitution in the amount of \$6,000.00 to G.G.M.; \$6,000.00 to A.G.M; and \$31,000.00 to N.C. no later than December 31, 2034.

FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent, SHINETH CUNANAN GONZALES

pay costs in the amount of \$384.00 to the People within thirty-five days of this Court's order.

BY THE COURT, FEBRUARY 9, 2026

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO ORIGINAL PROCEEDING IN THE UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE 1300 BROADWAY, SUITE 250 DENVER, CO 80203	
Petitioner: THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO	Case Number: 25SA111
Respondent: SHINETH CUNANAN GONZALES	
REPORT OF HEARING MASTER RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF REVISED STIPULATION TO INJUNCTION UNDER C.R.C.P. 232.17(d)	

In this unauthorized practice of law case, the Presiding Disciplinary Judge (“the PDJ”) recommends that the Colorado Supreme Court approve a stipulation to resolve the matter, enjoin Shineth Cunanan Gonzales, d/b/a United States Immigration Services, d/b/a US Immigration Services, d/b/a Colorado Global Schools, d/b/a Colorado School, (“Respondent”) from the unauthorized practice of law, and require her to pay restitution and the costs of this proceeding.

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On April 22, 2025, Erin R. Kristofco of the Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel (“the People”) filed a “Petition for Injunction Per C.R.C.P. 232.15(a)” against Respondent, alleging Respondent had engaged in the unauthorized practice of law. The Colorado Supreme Court issued an “Order of Court” on June 10, 2025, directing Respondent to answer the People’s petition and show cause why she should not be enjoined from the unauthorized practice of law. Respondent responded to the petition on July 1, 2025.

On July 2, 2025, the Colorado Supreme Court issued an “Order of Court,” referring this matter to the PDJ under C.R.C.P. 232.15(d) to prepare a report setting forth “findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations.” The same day, the PDJ directed the parties to set a scheduling conference, which the PDJ held on July 8, 2025.

On November 13, 2025, the parties filed a “Stipulation, Agreement and Affidavit Consenting to an Order of Injunction Per C.R.C.P. 232.17.” On November 18, 2025, the parties appeared for a status conference during which the PDJ expressed concerns about the parties’ stipulation, including an absence of language regarding costs; an absence of a due date for restitution; lack of clarity as to how restitution was to be paid to the victims; lack of clarity as to whether the parties intended to incorporate a consent judgment between Respondent and the

Colorado Attorney General's Office into their stipulation; and lack of clarity as to how victims could enforce restitution orders if the victims were identified only by their initials. The parties indicated their intent to submit a revised stipulation to address these deficiencies.

On December 3, 2025, the parties filed their "Revised Stipulation, Agreement and Affidavit Consenting to an Order of Injunction Per C.R.C.P. 232.17." In the revised stipulation, Respondent agrees to be enjoined from the unauthorized practice of law. She also agrees to pay costs in the amount of \$384.00 and restitution via electronic payment in the amount of \$6,000.00 to G.G.M.; \$6,000.00 to A.G.M; and \$31,000.00 to N.C. Respondent agrees to pay this restitution in full no later than December 31, 2034.¹

Finally, on December 12, 2025, the PDJ entered a protective order on the People's motion suppressing and protecting documents in the PDJ's files identifying Respondent's victims, who are understood to be immigrants seeking resolution to their immigration status in the United States.²

II. ORDER AND RECOMMENDATION

The PDJ **FINDS** that the parties' stipulation to a civil injunction meets the requirements of C.R.C.P. 232.17(b) and **ACCEPTS** the stipulation. Subject to the Colorado Supreme Court's approval of the parties' stipulation, the PDJ **VACATES** the pretrial conference set for December 23, 2025, and **VACATES** the hearing set for January 7-9, 2026.

Under C.R.C.P. 232.17(d), the PDJ **RECOMMENDS** the following:

1. That the Colorado Supreme Court **APPROVE** the parties' stipulation and **ENJOIN** Respondent **SHINETH CUNANAN GONZALES**, d/b/a United States Immigration Services, d/b/a US Immigration Services, d/b/a Colorado Global Schools, d/b/a Colorado School, from the unauthorized practice of law, as defined in C.R.C.P. 232.2(b)-(c);

¹ See Rev. Stip. ¶¶ 12-14. Respondent acknowledges her restitution obligations as set forth in her "Consent Judgment" with the Colorado Attorney General's Office, attached as exhibit 1 to the parties' revised stipulation. The People agree that they will not seek to enforce Respondent's restitution obligations in this case until she has completed her payment obligations under the "Consent Judgment" or forty-eight months from the Colorado Supreme Court's order in this case enjoining her from the unauthorized practice of law, whichever occurs first. Respondent agrees that she will begin making monthly restitution payments to G.G.M., A.G.M., and N.C. at that time, but the parties do not provide a schedule setting forth the amounts or due dates for installment payments.

² While C.R.C.P. 232 does not create a mechanism whereby the PDJ can suppress documents, the PDJ relied on his inherent authority to suppress certain documents in the PDJ's file to protect the victims' identities. But the PDJ, as hearing master, lacks authority to suppress any portion of the Colorado Supreme Court's file.

2. That the Colorado Supreme Court **ORDER** Respondent to pay **RESTITUTION** in the amount of **\$6,000.00** to **G.G.M.**; **\$6,000.00** to **A.G.M.**; and **\$31,000.00** to **N.C.**, no later than **December 31, 2034**, in the form of the attached proposed suppressed restitution orders;
3. That the Colorado Supreme Court **ORDER** Respondent to pay **COSTS** of \$384.00 to the People within thirty-five days of the Colorado Supreme Court's order;
4. That the Colorado Supreme Court **PROTECT** in its file the material designated **CONFIDENTIAL** under the PDJ's order granting the People's "Motion for Protective Order Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 242.41(a)," filed on December 1, 2025, including but not limited to the People's petition, the People's motion for a protective order, and restitution awards to A.G.M., G.G.M., and N.C.; and
5. That the Colorado Supreme Court allow A.G.M., G.G.M., and N.C. each to use their names and other protected material as needed to collect their restitution awards.



DATED THIS 12th DAY OF DECEMBER, 2025.



BRYON M. LARGE
PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE

Copies to:

Erin R. Kristofco
Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel

Via Email
e.kristofco@csc.state.co.us

Shineth Cunanan Gonzales
Respondent

Via Email
shinethcunanan@gmail.com

Cheryl Stevens
Colorado Supreme Court

Via Email